

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/533,664	05/03/2005	Harald Rohde	2002P17862WOUS	6222	
29177 BELL BOYD	7590 06/20/200 & LLOYD, LLP	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 113	5	LAMB, CODY W			
CHICAGO, II	, 60690		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/533,664	ROHDE, HARALD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Cody W. Lamb	2613		

	Cody W. Lamb		2613					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	ppears on the cove	r sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN C	ONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
1. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the m	rrous: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever in event however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WI								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The		n under 37 CFR 1 1	36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7! NOTICE OF APPEAL.	of extension and the cou the shortened statutory later than three months	responding amount period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in o								
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file				appeal. Since a				
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reject (a) They raise new issues that would require further 				cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE		r search (see NO	i E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application is appeal; and/or		al by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	g a corresponding nu	mber of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33	(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFF 		Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection 								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 			•					
7. \(\subseteq\) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			ll be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-14.18 and 23-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessitive. 	to overcome <u>all</u> rejects sary and was not ea	tions under appearlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	ation of the status of	the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered.	d but does NOT plac	e the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> ⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(s). (PTO/SB/08) Pap	per No(s)						
/Kenneth N Vanderpuye/								

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2613

Continuation of 13. Other: Amendment changes the scope of the claims which raise new issues and would require a new search.